## Boundary Harnack Principle for Diffusion with Jumps in Lipschitz domain

## Jieming Wang

#### (Beijing Institute of Technology)

## The 14th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics (Joint work with Professor Z.-Q. Chen)

We say that *h* is a harmonic function with respect to a process *X* on an open set *D* if for each open bounded V ⊂ V̄ ⊂ D,

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}_x h(X_{\tau_V}), \quad x \in V,$$

## where $\tau_V := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin V\}.$

• The statement of the boundary Harnack principle(BHP):

Let *D* be a domain with a certain geometric property. There exist positive constants  $R_0$  and *C* such that for any  $Q \in \partial D, r \in (0, R_0]$  any positive harmonic functions *u* and *v* w.r.t. *X* in  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  that vanish continuously on  $D^c \cap B(Q, r)$ , we have

$$\frac{u(x)}{u(y)} \le C\frac{v(x)}{v(y)}, \quad x, y \in D \cap B(Q, r/2).$$

We say that *h* is a harmonic function with respect to a process *X* on an open set *D* if for each open bounded V ⊂ V̄ ⊂ D,

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}_x h(X_{\tau_V}), \quad x \in V,$$

where  $\tau_V := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin V\}.$ 

• The statement of the boundary Harnack principle(BHP): Let *D* be a domain with a certain geometric property. There exist positive

constants  $R_0$  and C such that for any  $Q \in \partial D, r \in (0, R_0]$  any positive harmonic functions u and v w.r.t. X in  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  that vanish continuously on  $D^c \cap B(Q, r)$ , we have

$$\frac{u(x)}{u(y)} \leq C \frac{v(x)}{v(y)}, \quad x, y \in D \cap B(Q, r/2).$$

## **Background: BHP of B.M. and Diffusion Processes**

- The boundary Harnack principle(BHP) for Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain was obtained independently by Ancona (1978), Dahlberg (1977) and Wu (1978).
- The result was generalized to elliptic operator by Caffarelli-Fabes- Mortola-Salsa (1981) and Fabes-Carofalo-Marin-Malave and Salsa (1988).
- In 1989, Bass-Burdzy developed a quite different probabilistic method (also called the "box" method) to prove the BHP of Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain and extended it to more general non-smooth domain.
- Kim-Song (2007) established the BHP for diffusion with measure valued drifts which belong to some Kato class in Lipschitz domains.

- The boundary Harnack principle(BHP) for Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain was obtained independently by Ancona (1978), Dahlberg (1977) and Wu (1978).
- The result was generalized to elliptic operator by Caffarelli-Fabes- Mortola-Salsa (1981) and Fabes-Carofalo-Marin-Malave and Salsa (1988).
- In 1989, Bass-Burdzy developed a quite different probabilistic method (also called the "box" method) to prove the BHP of Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain and extended it to more general non-smooth domain.
- Kim-Song (2007) established the BHP for diffusion with measure valued drifts which belong to some Kato class in Lipschitz domains.

- The boundary Harnack principle(BHP) for Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain was obtained independently by Ancona (1978), Dahlberg (1977) and Wu (1978).
- The result was generalized to elliptic operator by Caffarelli-Fabes- Mortola-Salsa (1981) and Fabes-Carofalo-Marin-Malave and Salsa (1988).
- In 1989, Bass-Burdzy developed a quite different probabilistic method (also called the "box" method) to prove the BHP of Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain and extended it to more general non-smooth domain.
- Kim-Song (2007) established the BHP for diffusion with measure valued drifts which belong to some Kato class in Lipschitz domains.

- The boundary Harnack principle(BHP) for Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain was obtained independently by Ancona (1978), Dahlberg (1977) and Wu (1978).
- The result was generalized to elliptic operator by Caffarelli-Fabes- Mortola-Salsa (1981) and Fabes-Carofalo-Marin-Malave and Salsa (1988).
- In 1989, Bass-Burdzy developed a quite different probabilistic method (also called the "box" method) to prove the BHP of Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain and extended it to more general non-smooth domain.
- Kim-Song (2007) established the BHP for diffusion with measure valued drifts which belong to some Kato class in Lipschitz domains.

#### **Pure Discontinuous Processes**

A stochastic process Z = (Z<sub>t</sub>, P<sub>x</sub>, x ∈ R<sup>d</sup>) is called a rotationally symmetric α-stable process with α ∈ (0, 2) on R<sup>d</sup> if it is a Lévy process such that its characteristic function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[e^{i\xi\cdot(Z_{t}-Z_{0})}\right] = e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}} \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$

• The infinitesimal generator for rotationally symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(x+z) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot z \mathbb{1}_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \right) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}$$

• Truncated symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process is the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process with large jumps more than 1 removed. Denote by  $\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  the operator of truncated symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process, then

$$\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \left( f(x+z) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot z \right) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}$$

#### **Pure Discontinuous Processes**

A stochastic process Z = (Z<sub>t</sub>, P<sub>x</sub>, x ∈ R<sup>d</sup>) is called a rotationally symmetric α-stable process with α ∈ (0, 2) on R<sup>d</sup> if it is a Lévy process such that its characteristic function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[e^{i\xi\cdot(Z_{t}-Z_{0})}\right] = e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}} \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$

• The infinitesimal generator for rotationally symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(x+z) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot z \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \right) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}$$

• Truncated symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process is the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process with large jumps more than 1 removed. Denote by  $\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  the operator of truncated symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process, then

$$\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \left( f(x+z) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot z \right) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}$$

#### **Pure Discontinuous Processes**

A stochastic process Z = (Z<sub>t</sub>, P<sub>x</sub>, x ∈ R<sup>d</sup>) is called a rotationally symmetric α-stable process with α ∈ (0, 2) on R<sup>d</sup> if it is a Lévy process such that its characteristic function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[e^{i\xi\cdot(Z_{t}-Z_{0})}\right] = e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}} \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$

• The infinitesimal generator for rotationally symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(x+z) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot z \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \right) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}$$

• Truncated symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process is the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process with large jumps more than 1 removed. Denote by  $\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  the operator of truncated symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process, then

$$\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2} f(x) = \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \left( f(x+z) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot z \right) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}$$

- Bogdan(1997) obtained BHP for rotationally symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process in bounded Lipschitz domains.
- Bogdan(1999) adapted the "box" method developed by Bass-Burdzy to obtain a probabilistic proof for the BHP for the symmetric stable process in Lipschitz domain.
- The BHP result was extended to  $\kappa$ -fat open sets by Song-Wu (1999) and finally to arbitrary open sets by Bogdan-Kulczycki-Kwasnicki (2008).
- The result has been further extended to more pure jump processes, including truncated stable processes by Kim-Song, pure jump subordinate Brownian motions by Kim-Song-Vondracek, etc.

- Bogdan(1997) obtained BHP for rotationally symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process in bounded Lipschitz domains.
- Bogdan(1999) adapted the "box" method developed by Bass-Burdzy to obtain a probabilistic proof for the BHP for the symmetric stable process in Lipschitz domain.
- The BHP result was extended to  $\kappa$ -fat open sets by Song-Wu (1999) and finally to arbitrary open sets by Bogdan-Kulczycki-Kwasnicki (2008).
- The result has been further extended to more pure jump processes, including truncated stable processes by Kim-Song, pure jump subordinate Brownian motions by Kim-Song-Vondracek, etc.

- Bogdan(1997) obtained BHP for rotationally symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process in bounded Lipschitz domains.
- Bogdan(1999) adapted the "box" method developed by Bass-Burdzy to obtain a probabilistic proof for the BHP for the symmetric stable process in Lipschitz domain.
- The BHP result was extended to  $\kappa$ -fat open sets by Song-Wu (1999) and finally to arbitrary open sets by Bogdan-Kulczycki-Kwasnicki (2008).
- The result has been further extended to more pure jump processes, including truncated stable processes by Kim-Song, pure jump subordinate Brownian motions by Kim-Song-Vondracek, etc.

- Bogdan(1997) obtained BHP for rotationally symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process in bounded Lipschitz domains.
- Bogdan(1999) adapted the "box" method developed by Bass-Burdzy to obtain a probabilistic proof for the BHP for the symmetric stable process in Lipschitz domain.
- The BHP result was extended to  $\kappa$ -fat open sets by Song-Wu (1999) and finally to arbitrary open sets by Bogdan-Kulczycki-Kwasnicki (2008).
- The result has been further extended to more pure jump processes, including truncated stable processes by Kim-Song, pure jump subordinate Brownian motions by Kim-Song-Vondracek, etc.

- Chen-Kim-Song-Vondracek(2012) established the boundary Harnack principle for  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b\Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $b \in (0, M]$  in  $C^{1,1}$  domain and get the explicit boundary decay rates.
- The result was extended to subordinate Brownian motions with Gaussian component by Kim-Song-Vondracek (2013).
- Chen-W.(2018+) established the BHP with explicit boundary decay rate for a class of diffusion with jumps on  $C^{1,1}$  domain under some conditions on the jump density function.

- Chen-Kim-Song-Vondracek(2012) established the boundary Harnack principle for  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b\Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $b \in (0, M]$  in  $C^{1,1}$  domain and get the explicit boundary decay rates.
- The result was extended to subordinate Brownian motions with Gaussian component by Kim-Song-Vondracek (2013).
- Chen-W.(2018+) established the BHP with explicit boundary decay rate for a class of diffusion with jumps on  $C^{1,1}$  domain under some conditions on the jump density function.

- Chen-Kim-Song-Vondracek(2012) established the boundary Harnack principle for  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b\Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $b \in (0, M]$  in  $C^{1,1}$  domain and get the explicit boundary decay rates.
- The result was extended to subordinate Brownian motions with Gaussian component by Kim-Song-Vondracek (2013).
- Chen-W.(2018+) established the BHP with explicit boundary decay rate for a class of diffusion with jumps on  $C^{1,1}$  domain under some conditions on the jump density function.

#### The goal

Our aim is to obtain the BHP for a class of diffusion with jumps in Lipschitz domain.

Suppose  $d \ge 3$  and  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . Define

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(x) \right) + b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) + \mathcal{S}^{\kappa} f(x), \quad f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}^{\kappa}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(x+z) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot z \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \right) \frac{\kappa(x,z)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz.$$

E

◆ロト ◆聞 と ◆ 臣 と ◆ 臣 と

#### Assumptions:

(1) Assume that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $a_{ij}(x)$  is a symmetric matrix and satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition and the Hölder continuity condition, i.e.

$$L^{-1}I_{d\times d} \le a_{ij}(x) \le LI_{d\times d}.$$

$$|a_{ij}(x_1) - a_{ij}(x_2)| \le c|x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) We assume that  $b(\cdot)$  belongs to Kato class  $K_d^1$ . Here we say that a function  $b \in K_d^1$  if

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(x,r)} |y - x|^{1-d} |b|(y) = 0.$$

(3) κ(x, z) is a nonnegative real-valued bounded function on ℝ<sup>d</sup> × ℝ<sup>d</sup> satisfying κ(x, z) = κ(x, -z) for every x, z ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> and there exist ρ > 0 and A ≥ 1 such that

$$A^{-1} \le \kappa(x, z) \le A, \quad |z| \le \rho.$$

#### Assumptions:

(1) Assume that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $a_{ij}(x)$  is a symmetric matrix and satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition and the Hölder continuity condition, i.e.

$$L^{-1}I_{d\times d} \le a_{ij}(x) \le LI_{d\times d}.$$

$$|a_{ij}(x_1) - a_{ij}(x_2)| \le c|x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) We assume that  $b(\cdot)$  belongs to Kato class  $K_d^1$ . Here we say that a function  $b \in K_d^1$  if

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(x,r)} |y - x|^{1-d} |b|(y) = 0.$$

(3) κ(x, z) is a nonnegative real-valued bounded function on ℝ<sup>d</sup> × ℝ<sup>d</sup> satisfying κ(x, z) = κ(x, -z) for every x, z ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> and there exist ρ > 0 and A ≥ 1 such that

$$A^{-1} \le \kappa(x, z) \le A, \quad |z| \le \rho.$$

#### Assumptions:

(1) Assume that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $a_{ij}(x)$  is a symmetric matrix and satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition and the Hölder continuity condition, i.e.

$$L^{-1}I_{d\times d} \le a_{ij}(x) \le LI_{d\times d}.$$

$$|a_{ij}(x_1) - a_{ij}(x_2)| \le c|x_1 - x_2|^{\gamma}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(2) We assume that  $b(\cdot)$  belongs to Kato class  $K_d^1$ . Here we say that a function  $b \in K_d^1$  if

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{B(x,r)}|y-x|^{1-d}|b|(y)=0.$$

(3)  $\kappa(x,z)$  is a nonnegative real-valued bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\kappa(x,z) = \kappa(x,-z)$  for every  $x,z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and there exist  $\rho > 0$  and  $A \ge 1$  such that

$$A^{-1} \le \kappa(x, z) \le A, \quad |z| \le \rho.$$

1. By a similar argument as Z.-Q. Chen-E. Hu-L. Xie-X. Zhang(2016), the fundamental solution of  $\mathcal{L}$  exists. Associated with it is a conservative Feller process X on the canonical Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}([0,\infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$  having Lévy system  $(J^{\kappa}(x,y)dy, dt)$ , where  $J^{\kappa}(x,y) = \kappa(x,y-x)/|y-x|^{d+\alpha}$ .

2. In general, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is a nonsymmetric operator. It covers the two examples as belowing:

(1)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a \Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a\mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1}(z)$ .

- **1.** By a similar argument as Z.-Q. Chen-E. Hu-L. Xie-X. Zhang(2016), the fundamental solution of  $\mathcal{L}$  exists. Associated with it is a conservative Feller process *X* on the canonical Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$  having Lévy system  $(J^{\kappa}(x, y)dy, dt)$ , where  $J^{\kappa}(x, y) = \kappa(x, y x)/|y x|^{d+\alpha}$ .
- 2. In general, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is a nonsymmetric operator. It covers the two examples as belowing:

(1)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a \Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a \mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1}(z)$ .

- **1.** By a similar argument as Z.-Q. Chen-E. Hu-L. Xie-X. Zhang(2016), the fundamental solution of  $\mathcal{L}$  exists. Associated with it is a conservative Feller process *X* on the canonical Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$  having Lévy system  $(J^{\kappa}(x, y)dy, dt)$ , where  $J^{\kappa}(x, y) = \kappa(x, y x)/|y x|^{d+\alpha}$ .
- 2. In general, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is a nonsymmetric operator. It covers the two examples as belowing:

(1)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a \Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a\mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1}(z)$ .

- **1.** By a similar argument as Z.-Q. Chen-E. Hu-L. Xie-X. Zhang(2016), the fundamental solution of  $\mathcal{L}$  exists. Associated with it is a conservative Feller process *X* on the canonical Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$  having Lévy system  $(J^{\kappa}(x, y)dy, dt)$ , where  $J^{\kappa}(x, y) = \kappa(x, y x)/|y x|^{d+\alpha}$ .
- 2. In general, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is a nonsymmetric operator. It covers the two examples as belowing:

(1)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a \Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a\mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1}(z)$ .

- **1.** By a similar argument as Z.-Q. Chen-E. Hu-L. Xie-X. Zhang(2016), the fundamental solution of  $\mathcal{L}$  exists. Associated with it is a conservative Feller process *X* on the canonical Skorokhod space  $\mathbb{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$  having Lévy system  $(J^{\kappa}(x, y)dy, dt)$ , where  $J^{\kappa}(x, y) = \kappa(x, y x)/|y x|^{d+\alpha}$ .
- 2. In general, the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is a nonsymmetric operator. It covers the two examples as belowing:
  - (1)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a \Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + b \cdot \nabla + a\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a\mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1}(z)$ .

## **Assumption** (*A*1):

For each set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and each  $u \in F^c$ , define

 $\Lambda_{u,F}:=\{y\in F: J^{\kappa}(y,u)>0\}.$ 

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and r > 0, define

 $\|\kappa\|_{x,r} := \operatorname{esssup}\{\kappa(y, u-y): y \in B(x,r), u \in B(x, 4r)^c\}.$ 

Assumption (A1): There exist positive constants  $\delta$  and c such that for any  $r \in (0, \delta), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $u \in \{u \in B(x, 4r)^c : m(\Lambda_{u,B(x,r)}) > 0\},\$ 

$$\frac{1}{r^d}\int_{B(x,2r)} J^{\kappa}(y,u)\,dy \ge cJ^{\|\kappa\|_{x,r}}(w,u), \quad w\in B(x,r).$$

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨトー

## **Assumption** (*A*1):

For each set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and each  $u \in F^c$ , define

 $\Lambda_{u,F}:=\{y\in F: J^{\kappa}(y,u)>0\}.$ 

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and r > 0, define

 $\|\kappa\|_{x,r} := \operatorname{esssup}\{\kappa(y, u-y): y \in B(x,r), u \in B(x,4r)^c\}.$ 

Assumption (A1): There exist positive constants  $\delta$  and c such that for any  $r \in (0, \delta), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $u \in \{u \in B(x, 4r)^c : m(\Lambda_{u,B(x,r)}) > 0\},\$ 

$$\frac{1}{r^d}\int_{B(x,2r)}J^{\kappa}(y,u)\,dy\geq cJ^{\|\kappa\|_{x,r}}(w,u),\quad w\in B(x,r).$$

・ロト・西ト・ ほと・ ほとう 語

#### **Theorem:**(Harnack principle)

Suppose Assumption (A1) holds. There exist two positive constants  $\delta = \delta(d, \alpha)$  and  $C = C(d, \alpha, \kappa)$  such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, r \in (0, \delta)$  and any nonnegative bounded harmonic function *h* with respect to *X* in B(x, r),

 $h(y_1) \le Ch(y_2), \quad y_1, y_2 \in B(x, r/4).$ 

Suppose *D* is a Lipschitz domain with characteristic  $(R, \Lambda)$ . Fix a constant  $\eta \in (0, 1/4)$ . For each  $r \in (0, R)$  and  $Q \in \partial D$ , define the "interior set" of  $D \cap B(Q, r)$ 

 $\Omega_{\eta,r,Q} := \{ y \in D \cap B(Q,r) : \delta_{D \cap B(Q,r)}(y) > \eta r \}.$ 

For each  $Q \in \partial D$  and  $r \in (0, R)$ , define

 $\|\kappa\|_{Q,D,r} := \operatorname{esssup}\{\kappa(y, u-y): y \in D \cap B(Q, r), u \in B(Q, 4r)^c\}.$ 

Assumption (A2): There exist two positive constants  $R_1 \in (0, R)$  and c > 0 such that for any  $r \in (0, R_1)$ ,  $Q \in \partial D$  and

$$u \in \left\{ u \in B(Q, 4r)^c : m(\Lambda_{u, D \cap B(Q, r)}) > 0 \right\},\$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{r^d}\int_{\Omega_{\eta,2r,Q}}J^{\kappa}(y,u)\,dy\geq cJ^{\|\kappa\|_{Q,D,r}}(w,u),\quad w\in D\cap B(Q,r).$$

- 1. The condition (A2) is restricted on large jumps of X from small sets near the boundary of D.
- Roughly speaking, the assumption (A2) tells that if X has large jumps from D ∩ B(Q, r) to B(Q, 4r)<sup>c</sup>, then it is required that there have enough jumps from the "interior set" Ω<sub>η,2r,Q</sub> of D ∩ B(Q, 2r) to B(Q, 4r)<sup>c</sup>.

Assumption (A2): There exist two positive constants  $R_1 \in (0, R)$  and c > 0 such that for any  $r \in (0, R_1)$ ,  $Q \in \partial D$  and

$$u \in \left\{ u \in B(Q, 4r)^c : m(\Lambda_{u, D \cap B(Q, r)}) > 0 \right\},\$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{r^d}\int_{\Omega_{\eta,2r,Q}}J^{\kappa}(y,u)\,dy\geq cJ^{\|\kappa\|_{Q,D,r}}(w,u),\quad w\in D\cap B(Q,r).$$

- 1. The condition (A2) is restricted on large jumps of X from small sets near the boundary of D.
- 2. Roughly speaking, the assumption (A2) tells that if X has large jumps from  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  to  $B(Q, 4r)^c$ , then it is required that there have enough jumps from the "interior set"  $\Omega_{\eta,2r,Q}$  of  $D \cap B(Q, 2r)$  to  $B(Q, 4r)^c$ .

Assumption (A2): There exist two positive constants  $R_1 \in (0, R)$  and c > 0 such that for any  $r \in (0, R_1)$ ,  $Q \in \partial D$  and

$$u \in \left\{ u \in B(Q, 4r)^c : m(\Lambda_{u, D \cap B(Q, r)}) > 0 \right\},\$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{r^d}\int_{\Omega_{\eta,2r,Q}}J^{\kappa}(y,u)\,dy\geq cJ^{\|\kappa\|_{Q,D,r}}(w,u),\quad w\in D\cap B(Q,r).$$

- 1. The condition (A2) is restricted on large jumps of X from small sets near the boundary of D.
- **2.** Roughly speaking, the assumption (A2) tells that if X has large jumps from  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  to  $B(Q, 4r)^c$ , then it is required that there have enough jumps from the "interior set"  $\Omega_{\eta,2r,Q}$  of  $D \cap B(Q, 2r)$  to  $B(Q, 4r)^c$ .

#### Chen-W. 2018+ (BHP in Lipschitz domain)

Suppose Assumptions (A1) and (A2) hold. For each Lipschitz open set D with characteristics  $(R, \Lambda)$ , there exists a positive constant C such that for all  $Q \in \partial D, r \in (0, R]$  and all functions  $h_k \ge 0, k = 1, 2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that are harmonic with respect to X in  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  and vanish continuously on  $D^c \cap B(Q, r)$ , we have

$$\frac{h_1(x)}{h_1(y)} \le C \frac{h_2(x)}{h_2(y)}, \quad x, y \in D \cap B(Q, r/2).$$

Theorem 1: Suppose that A > 0. For any Lipschitz domain D with characteristics  $(R, \Lambda)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, \alpha, R, \Lambda, A)$  such that for  $Q \in \partial D, r \in (0, R], A^{-1} \le \kappa(x, z) \le A$  and all functions  $h_k \ge 0, k = 1, 2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that are harmonic with respect to X in  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  and vanish continuously on  $D^c \cap B(Q, r)$ , we have

$$\frac{h_1(x)}{h_1(y)} \le C \frac{h_2(x)}{h_2(y)}, \quad x, y \in D \cap B(Q, r/2).$$

Remark:

 $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + a \Delta^{\alpha/2}$  with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a$ .

#### Examples

Theorem 2: Suppose that A > 0. For each Lipschitz open set D with characteristics  $(R, \Lambda)$ , suppose there exists a constant  $c = c(d, R, \Lambda) > 0$  such that for any  $r \in (0, R)$ ,  $Q \in \partial D$  and  $u \in \{u \in B(Q, 4r)^c : m(H_{u,D_{Q,r}}) > 0\}$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\kappa,2r,Q}} 1_{|u-y| \le 1}(y) \, dy \ge cr^d.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, \alpha, R, \Lambda, A)$  such that for  $Q \in \partial D, r \in (0, R], A^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1}(z) \le \kappa(x, z) \le A\mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1}(z)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and all functions  $h_k \ge 0, k = 1, 2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that are harmonic with respect to X in  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  and vanish continuously on  $D^c \cap B(Q, r)$ , we have

$$\frac{h_1(x)}{h_1(y)} \le C \frac{h_2(x)}{h_2(y)}, \quad x, y \in D \cap B(Q, r/2).$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \Delta + a\overline{\Delta}^{\alpha/2}$$
 with  $a_{ij} = I$  and  $\kappa(x, z) = a\mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \le 1\}}(z)$ .

Bass-Burdzy (1989) developed a probabilistic method (also called the "box" method) to prove the BHP of Brownian motion in Lipschitz domain. Bog-dan(1999) adapted this method in the symmetric stable process.

We shall use this method in our case to prove the BHP in Lipschitz domain.

An open set D in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (when  $d \ge 2$ ) is said to be Lipschitz domain if there exist a localization radius R > 0 and a constant  $\Lambda > 0$  such that for every  $Q \in \partial D$ , there exist a Lipschitz function  $\phi = \phi_Q : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\phi(0) = \nabla \phi(0) = 0, |\phi(z_1) - \phi(z_2)| \le \Lambda |z_1 - z_2|, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$$

and an orthonormal coordinate system  $CS_Q : y = (y_1, \dots, y_{d-1}, y_d) =: (\tilde{y}, y_d)$  with its origin at Q such that

$$B(Q,R) \cap D = \{ y = (\tilde{y}, y_d) \in B(0,R) \text{ in } CS_Q : y_d > \phi(\tilde{y}) \}.$$

The pair  $(R, \Lambda)$  is called the characteristics of the Lipschitz domain *D*.

• For each  $Q \in \partial D$  and  $x \in B(Q, R)$ , define the vertical distance from x to  $\partial D$  by

$$\rho_Q(x) = x^d - \phi_Q(x^1, \cdots, x^{d-1}),$$

where  $(x^1, \dots, x^d)$  is the coordinate of x in  $CS_Q$ .

• For each  $Q \in \partial D$ , define the "box"

 $\Delta(Q, a, R) = \{ y \in CS_Q : 0 < \rho_Q(y) < a, |(y^1, \cdots, y^{d-1})| < R \}.$ 

 $\nabla(Q, a, R) = \{ y \in CS_Q : -a < \rho_Q(y) < 0, |(y^1, \cdots, y^{d-1})| < R \}.$ 

• For each  $Q \in \partial D$  and  $x \in B(Q, R)$ , define the vertical distance from x to  $\partial D$  by

$$\rho_Q(x) = x^d - \phi_Q(x^1, \cdots, x^{d-1}),$$

where  $(x^1, \dots, x^d)$  is the coordinate of x in  $CS_Q$ .

• For each  $Q \in \partial D$ , define the "box"

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(Q, a, R) &= \{ y \in CS_Q : 0 < \rho_Q(y) < a, |(y^1, \cdots, y^{d-1})| < R \}. \\ \nabla(Q, a, R) &= \{ y \in CS_Q : -a < \rho_Q(y) < 0, |(y^1, \cdots, y^{d-1})| < R \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Q \in \partial D$ . For the "box"  $\Delta(Q, a, La)$ , we define

$$\begin{split} S_{\Delta(Q,a,La)} &:= \Delta(Q,a,(L+1)a) \setminus \Delta(Q,a,La) \\ U_{\Delta(Q,a,La)} &:= \Delta(Q,2a,(L+1)a) \setminus \Delta(Q,a,(L+1)a) \\ W_{\Delta(Q,a,La)} &:= [\Delta(Q,2a,(L+1)a) \cup \nabla(Q,2a,(L+1)a)]^c. \end{split}$$

• • • • • • • • • •

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>



 $W_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}$ 

 Let *h* be a harmonic function in Δ(Q, 4r, 10r) and vanishes continuously on ∇(Q, 4r, 10r). Then for x ∈ Δ(Q, r, r),

$$\begin{split} h(x) = & \mathbb{E}_{x} h(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}}) \\ = & \mathbb{E}_{x} [h(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}}); X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}} \in S_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}] \\ & + \mathbb{E}_{x} [h(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}}); X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}} \in U_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}] \\ & + \mathbb{E}_{x} [h(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}}); X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}} \in W_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}] \end{split}$$

#### Main goal:

For  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$h(x) \asymp h(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}} \in U_{\Delta(Q,2r,8r)}),$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ . Thus,

$$\frac{h(x)}{h(y)} \asymp \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta)}{\mathbb{P}_y(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta)}, \quad x, y \in \Delta(Q, r, r).$$

That is, the BHP holds.

< 口 > < 同

#### **Proposition:**(Key estimates)

There exists c > 0 such that for any  $Q \in \partial D$  and  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}} \in S_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}) \leq c\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}} \in U_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}).$$

#### Lemma 1:

There exist positive constants  $c_k, k = 0, 1$  such that for every  $Q \in \partial D, a < \delta, L \ge 1$  and  $x \in \Delta(Q, a, La/2)$ 

 $\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}} \in S_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}) \le c_{1} \exp(-c_{0}L) + c_{1}a^{2-\alpha}(L+1)^{-(1+\alpha)}.$ 

#### Lemma 2:

There exist positive constants *c* and  $\beta$  such that for every  $Q \in \partial D$ ,  $a < \delta, L \ge 1$ and  $y \in \Delta(Q, a/2, a)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}} \in U_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}) \ge c \left(\frac{\delta_{D}(y)}{a}\right)^{\beta} + c(\delta_{D}(y))^{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta_{D}(y)}{a}\right)^{\alpha}$$

#### **Proposition:**(Key estimates)

There exists c > 0 such that for any  $Q \in \partial D$  and  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}} \in S_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}) \leq c\mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}} \in U_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}).$$

#### Lemma 1:

There exist positive constants  $c_k, k = 0, 1$  such that for every  $Q \in \partial D, a < \delta, L \ge 1$  and  $x \in \Delta(Q, a, La/2)$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}} \in S_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}) \le c_{1} \exp(-c_{0}L) + c_{1}a^{2-\alpha}(L+1)^{-(1+\alpha)}$$

#### Lemma 2:

There exist positive constants *c* and  $\beta$  such that for every  $Q \in \partial D$ ,  $a < \delta, L \ge 1$ and  $y \in \Delta(Q, a/2, a)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}} \in U_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}) \ge c \left(\frac{\delta_{D}(y)}{a}\right)^{\beta} + c(\delta_{D}(y))^{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta_{D}(y)}{a}\right)^{\alpha}$$

#### **Proposition:**(Key estimates)

There exists c > 0 such that for any  $Q \in \partial D$  and  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}} \in S_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}) \leq c\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}} \in U_{\Delta(\mathcal{Q},2r,8r)}).$$

#### Lemma 1:

There exist positive constants  $c_k, k = 0, 1$  such that for every  $Q \in \partial D, a < \delta, L \ge 1$  and  $x \in \Delta(Q, a, La/2)$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}} \in S_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}) \le c_{1} \exp(-c_{0}L) + c_{1}a^{2-\alpha}(L+1)^{-(1+\alpha)}.$$

#### Lemma 2:

There exist positive constants *c* and  $\beta$  such that for every  $Q \in \partial D$ ,  $a < \delta, L \ge 1$ and  $y \in \Delta(Q, a/2, a)$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{y}(X_{\tau_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}} \in U_{\Delta(Q,a,La)}) \geq c \left(\frac{\delta_{D}(y)}{a}\right)^{\beta} + c(\delta_{D}(y))^{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{\delta_{D}(y)}{a}\right)^{\alpha}.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### **Proposition:** (Carleson estimate)

There exists a constant *c* such that for any  $0 < r < R, Q \in \partial D$  and any nonnegative harmonic function *h* in  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  which vanishes in  $D^c \cap B(Q, r)$ , we have

$$h(x) \le ch(x_0), \quad x \in D \cap B(Q, r/2),$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

# Estimates in small range Let $\Delta := \Delta(Q, 2r, 8r)$ . For $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ , $\mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}), X_{\tau_\Delta} \in S_\Delta] + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}), X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta]$ $\leq c_1 h(x_0)(\mathbb{P}_x[X_{\tau_\Delta} \in S_\Delta] + \mathbb{P}_x[X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta])$ $\leq c_2 h(x_0)\mathbb{P}_x[X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta].$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

#### **Proposition:** (Carleson estimate)

There exists a constant *c* such that for any  $0 < r < R, Q \in \partial D$  and any nonnegative harmonic function *h* in  $D \cap B(Q, r)$  which vanishes in  $D^c \cap B(Q, r)$ , we have

$$h(x) \le ch(x_0), \quad x \in D \cap B(Q, r/2),$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

#### **Estimates in small range**

Let 
$$\Delta := \Delta(Q, 2r, 8r)$$
. For  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_{\Delta}}), X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in S_{\Delta}] + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_{\Delta}}), X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in U_{\Delta}] \\ & \leq c_1 h(x_0) (\mathbb{P}_x[X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in S_{\Delta}] + \mathbb{P}_x[X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in U_{\Delta}]) \\ & \leq c_2 h(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x[X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in U_{\Delta}]. \end{split}$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

Suppose *D* is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and *h* is a non-negative function, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_D}), X_{\tau_D-} \neq X_{\tau_D}] = \int_{D^c} \int_D G_D^X(x, y) \frac{\kappa(y, u-y)}{|y-u|^{d+\alpha}} dy h(u) \, du.$$

Let  $\Delta := \Delta(Q, 2r, 8r)$ . Hence, for  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}[h(X_{\tau_{\Delta}}), X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in W_{\Delta}] = \int_{W_{\Delta}} \int_{\Delta} G_{\Delta}^{X}(x, y) \frac{\kappa(y, u - y)}{|y - u|^{d + \alpha}} h(u) \, dy \, du.$$

By the assumption (A2), for  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

 $\mathbb{E}_{x}[h(X_{\tau_{\Delta}}), X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in W_{\Delta}] \leq ch(x_{0})\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in U_{\Delta}),$ 

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

( 口 ) ( 同 ) ( 回 ) ( 回 ) (

Suppose *D* is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and *h* is a non-negative function, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_D}), X_{\tau_D-} \neq X_{\tau_D}] = \int_{D^c} \int_D G_D^X(x, y) \frac{\kappa(y, u-y)}{|y-u|^{d+\alpha}} dy h(u) \, du.$$

Let  $\Delta := \Delta(Q, 2r, 8r)$ . Hence, for  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}[h(X_{\tau_{\Delta}}), X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in W_{\Delta}] = \int_{W_{\Delta}} \int_{\Delta} G_{\Delta}^{X}(x, y) \frac{\kappa(y, u - y)}{|y - u|^{d + \alpha}} h(u) \, dy \, du.$$

By the assumption (A2), for  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}[h(X_{\tau_{\Delta}}), X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in W_{\Delta}] \leq ch(x_{0})\mathbb{P}_{x}(X_{\tau_{\Delta}} \in U_{\Delta}),$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

#### **Proof of BHP**

1. Let *h* be a harmonic function in  $\Delta(Q, 4r, 10r)$  and vanishes continuously on  $\nabla(Q, 4r, 10r)$ . Let  $\Delta := \Delta(Q, 2r, 8r)$ . Then for  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$\begin{split} h(x) = & \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in S_\Delta] + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta] \\ & + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in W_\Delta] \\ \leq & ch(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta), \end{split}$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

**2.** On the other hand, by the assumption (A1) and the Harnack principle,

$$h(x) \ge \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta] \ge ch(x_0)\mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta).$$

**3.** Hence,  $h(x) \simeq h(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta)$ .

#### **Proof of BHP**

1. Let *h* be a harmonic function in  $\Delta(Q, 4r, 10r)$  and vanishes continuously on  $\nabla(Q, 4r, 10r)$ . Let  $\Delta := \Delta(Q, 2r, 8r)$ . Then for  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$\begin{split} h(x) = & \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in S_\Delta] + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta] \\ & + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in W_\Delta] \\ \leq & ch(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta), \end{split}$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

2. On the other hand, by the assumption (A1) and the Harnack principle,

$$h(x) \ge \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta] \\\ge ch(x_0)\mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta).$$

**3.** Hence,  $h(x) \simeq h(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta)$ .

#### **Proof of BHP**

1. Let *h* be a harmonic function in  $\Delta(Q, 4r, 10r)$  and vanishes continuously on  $\nabla(Q, 4r, 10r)$ . Let  $\Delta := \Delta(Q, 2r, 8r)$ . Then for  $x \in \Delta(Q, r, r)$ ,

$$\begin{split} h(x) = & \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in S_\Delta] + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta] \\ & + \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in W_\Delta] \\ \leq & ch(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta), \end{split}$$

where  $x_0 \in D \cap B(Q, r)$  with  $\delta_D(x_0) = r/2$ .

**2.** On the other hand, by the assumption (A1) and the Harnack principle,

$$h(x) \ge \mathbb{E}_x[h(X_{\tau_\Delta}); X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta] \\\ge ch(x_0)\mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta).$$

**3.** Hence,  $h(x) \simeq h(x_0) \mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_\Delta} \in U_\Delta)$ .

# Thank you!

◆ロト ◆聞 と ◆ 臣 と ◆ 臣 と